

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | | |
|---|----------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|--|
| 10/718,179 | 11/20/2003 | Micheal Talley | 200309402-1 | 9350 | | |
| 22879 HEWLETT PA | 7590 04/21/200 ACKARD COMPANY | EXAM | EXAMINER | | | |
| P O BOX 272400, 3404 E. HARMONY ROAD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION FORT COLLINS. CO 80527-2400 | | | PARK, | PARK, CHAN S | | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| | -, | 2625 | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | NOTIFICATION DATE | DELIVERY MODE | | |
| | | | 04/21/2008 | ELECTRONIC | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

JERRY.SHORMA@HP.COM mkraft@hp.com ipa.mail@hp.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|--------------|---------------|--|
| 10/718,179 | | TALLEY ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | CHAN S. PARK | 2625 | |

| | CHAN S. PARK | 2625 | | | | |
|---|--|---|----------------------------------|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the o | correspondence add | ress | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 28 March 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF | PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR | ALLOWANCE. | | | | |
| \(\)\[\]\[\]\] The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of App for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: | replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance | t, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; o | which places the r (3) a Request | | | |
| a) In period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event however, will be statutory period for reply expires later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b), ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FIRM REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07 or (b). | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp | liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be t | iled within two month | s of the date of | | | |
| filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | | | | |
| <u>AMENDMENTS</u> | | | | | | |
| ∑ The proposed amendment(s) flide after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered becaus (a) ∑ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ∑ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); | | | | | | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or | | | | | | |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. | | | | | | |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | Od Con attacked Nation of Nam Co. | | DTOL 224) | | | |
| The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) | | mpliant Amendment (| PTOL-324). | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable daim(s). | | | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | l be entered and an e | xplanation of | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: <u>1,3,4,6-19,24 and 25</u> . Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome all rejections under appea | I and/or appellant fail | s to provide a | | | |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | n of the status of the claims after er | ntry is below or attach | ed. | | | |
| The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> | t does NOT place the application in | condition for allowan | ce because: | | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). 13. Other: | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). | | | | | |
| /Edward L. Coles/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2625 | /CHAN S PARK/ Examiner, Art Unit 2625 | | | | | |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Examiner, Art Unit 2625

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Regarding claims 1, 11, 13 and 25, the addition of the limitation of "... a second PDF that includes the text modified according to the at least one standard handwritten edit symbol", requires a new search and further consideration, as it raises new issues.

/Edward L. Coles/